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ABSTRACT

This study uses electropalatography to investigate the temporal and spatial organization of lingual consonants in English consonant clusters. Reduction differences between onsets and codas and between stops and fricatives are observed. Gestural overlap explains contact patterns in juncture geminates.

INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

The sequences considered are (1) juncture geminates—[dːd], [sːs], & [ɡːɡ]—which are realized with a single raising and lowering of the tongue, and (2) sequences in which one lingual consonant occurs with a labial consonant—[dːb], [bːd], [sːb], [sːb], & [ɡːb]. The sequences were read in the phrase "Type bat Cab again" and recorded using electropalatography. Seven tokens of each sequence from each of five speakers are analyzed. In all the sequences, only a single consonant articulation is made against the palate, and no other consonantal constriction interferes with it. Based on EPG contact profiles, metrics were calculated indicating the spatial and temporal extent of the lingual-palatal contact. Effects of syllable position, place, and manner are tested. For a more detailed description of the method see [1], [2].

RESULTS

The contact profiles for the front region for [dːd] (geminates), [dːb] (coda), and [bːd] (onset) are shown for one of the five speakers in Figure 1. The y-axis represents the percent of the pseudopalatal region (front or back) registering lingual contact at a particular point in time; the x-axis represents time in frames of .01 seconds. The null hypothesis, clearly not supported, is that the three contact profiles for a consonant—juncture geminate, coda, and onset—are the same.

Comparison of Means

First let's consider differences in the amount of contact in the front region for [d]. This is indexed by the maximum contact expressed as a percentage of the total possible contact in the front region. Repeated measures ANOVA determines there to be a significant effect of sequence on the maximum front contact for [d] (F(2,8)=5.75, p=0.0283) such that the coda [d] have less maximum contact than [d] in the other two sequences. There is also a significant interaction with speaker, with Speaker B having the reverse pattern. The other speakers have a group mean of 58% contact for the onset [d]'s and 42% for codas. The combined mean maximum contact for [dːd] across speakers is 56%. This parallels results reported elsewhere on reduction of final tongue tip gestures [3], [4].

The duration of lingualpalatal contact for [d] is longer in [dːd] than for the other sequences (F(2,8)=12.55, p=0.0034). For three Speakers, A, K, and M, codas were shorter than onsets as well. Next, consider the shape of the profile, or temporal distribution of contact. One measure of this is skewness. Roughly speaking, a greater (positive) skew indicates a shorter closure formation in the contact profile than closure release. For these same three speakers, codas had a greater positive skew than onsets. There was a significant interaction of sequence and speaker in affecting skew (F(8,90)=19.460, p=0.0001), with Speaker K showing the strongest effect. The time taken in forming the contact was shorter than that needed for the release (i.e. positive skew) for both coda and onset [d] with the asymmetry being greater in coda position. Another measure of shape is the FLATNESS of the contact profile, indexed here by the mean contact divided by the maximum contact. There was a significant interaction of speaker and sequence on FLATNESS (F(8,90)=6.464, p=0.0001). All speakers had flatter profiles for [dːd] than for the other sequences. Three speakers, K, A, and B, also had flatter onsets than codas.

The next sequences considered are [sːs], [sːb], and [bːs]. The contact profiles for these are shown for Speaker K in Figure 2. Consider the degree of contact. ANOVA shows there to be no difference among the sequences [sːs], [sːb], and [bːs], in maximum contact in the front region. Next, duration of contact is of interest. There are significant differences in duration (F(2,8)=18.35, p=0.001). The juncture geminates have the longest durations of contact, and, excepting Speaker S, onsets are longer than codas. For all speakers, contact profiles for codas are less flat and have a more positive skew than either the geminated or onset consonants, as determined by the main effect of sequence on FLATNESS (F(2,8)=8.125, p=0.011). In fact, the coda [s] was the only one of the three [s]'s to have a positive skew for all subjects; only two subjects had a positive skew for the onset [s]. This parallels the findings for [d], suggesting that while coda [s]'s may not undergo spatial lenition, they, like coda [d]'s, are shorter and have faster constriction formation in coda position than in onset position.

The dorsal stop consonant [ɡ] was examined in the sequences [ɡːɡ], [ɡːb], and [ɡːɡ]. Here the relevant articulatory region is the back one. The contact profiles are shown for Speaker K in Figure 3. ANOVA determines there to be a significant effect of sequence on maximum displacement in the back region (F(2,8)=5.476, p=0.0318). For all speakers, except Speaker B, displacements decrease from onset to geminated to coda [ɡ]. For Speaker B, onsets rather than codas have the lowest maximum contact; codas are still less displaced than geminated [ɡ]'s. There is also a significant difference between the duration of [ɡ] in the three sequences (F(2,8)=9.51, p=0.0077). All speakers'

Figure 1. Contact profiles in the front region for [dːd], [dːb], & [bːd]. Speaker K.

Figure 2. Contact profiles in the front region for [sːs], [sːb], & [bːs]. Speaker K.

geminated sequences are longest, and all except Speaker B have longer onset \([g]\)'s than coda \([g]\)'s. There is also a significant effect on FLATTENESS \((F(2,8)=5.788, p=.0279)\). Contact profiles for four speakers are flatter for codas than onsets. There is no main effect on SKEW for the velar consonant, although there is a significant interaction of speaker and sequence \((F(8,90)=8.511, p=.0001)\) with Speakers K, A, and S having onsets more skewed to the right than codas, although most speakers' skewers for all three sequences are negative.

Summary
Graphical comparisons illustrating differences in reduction and shortening are shown in Figure 4. (However, recall that Speaker B's data often pattern opposite to those of the other speakers, making an examination of the group means less representative of the general behavior.) In summary, for the stop consonants, onsets are generally more displaced (i.e., have greater maximum lingual-palatal contact) than codas. For most speakers, the stops in onset are slightly longer than in a coda. For \([s]\), contact in onset position is longer than in a coda position, but there is no difference in spatial extent. Contact profiles for front consonants are generally flatter and less (positively) skewed in onset position than in coda position. This difference is probably due to the need for the jaw to lower for the immediately following vowel in the onset sequences but the possibility of a slower release due to the longer high jaw position for the following bilabial consonant in the coda sequence. (Changes in jaw height would have a much smaller influence on \([g]\) skewness due to the posterior hinge location of the jaw.) For the back stop consonant, contact profiles are flatter in coda position than in onset position. Finally, for all three consonants, the juncture germinates are longer and flatter than both onset and coda consonants.

**JUNCTURE GERMINATES**
Munhall and Lofqvist [5] examined the blending of two laryngeal gestures separated by a word boundary. This situation is analogous to our geminated sequences where two lingual gestures are canonically present. They observed that a single smooth movement of the tongue occurred as the gestures overlapped at fast speaking rates. Lingua-palatal contact profiles for our juncture geminate sequences also show a single smooth movement. Both studies find the coproduction movement for juncture germinates to be longer than the non-coproduced movement for a single gesture. Additionally, Munhall and Lofqvist [5] found no consistent tendency for the combined movement to be larger than a non-combined movement, although a simulated summation of the gestures predicts such a difference. At medium speech rates one of their speakers showed larger geminated movements, but this behavior reversed at fast rates. Their other speaker showed no consistent difference. Our data above also show no consistent increase in maximum contact for the geminated consonants, suggesting that a summation process is not at work. (In fact, data here and in [6] suggest some overlap account is preferable to the summation of a new mechanism [8] or to the modification of a gestural score by the substitution of a single 'macro' gesture for the abutting lingual gestures [cf. [9]].)
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