Modeling Therapist Empathy and Vocal Entrainment in Drug Addiction Counseling

¹SAIL, Dept. Electrical Engineering, University of Southern California ²Dept. Educational Psychology, University of Utah ³Dept. Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, University of Washington, U.S.A. boxiao@usc.edu, georgiou@sipi.usc.edu, zac.imel@utah.edu, datkins@u.washington.edu, shri@sipi.usc.edu







Bo Xiao¹, Panayiotis G. Georgiou¹, Zac E. Imel², David C. Atkins³, Shrikanth S. Narayanan¹



Experiment

Correlation of features and empathy score

	1	10^{-1}	10^{-2}	10^{-3}		
T)	-0.24	-0.25	-0.27	-0.29		
r)*	-0.22	-0.23	-0.21	-0.19		
	-0.31	-0.32	-0.31	-0.30		
	-0.32	-0.34	-0.35	-0.34		
pati	0.27					
tien	0.28					

p < 0.05, otherwise p < 0.01

Performance of integrated feature

gistic reg. of binarized empathy							
raining		Testing					
	Acc.	Corr.	Acc.				
1	0.71 ± 0.01	0.43	0.70*				
in binomial test, baseline $= 0.61$							

Discussion

• Projecting T to P and J = 2 more effective than others • The two features are closer in value with larger J



• Different turn orders lead to correlated feature values • "T following P" correlates with empathy, but not the other

$(PT) \& M_{sim}(TP, PT)$ 0.85 0.66 $(PT) \& M_{pit}(TP)$ 0.71 0.53	00 (J = 2)	1	10^{-3}
$(PT) \& M_{pit}(TP)$ 0.71 0.53	(PT) & $M_{sim}(TP, PT)$	0.85	0.66
	(PT) & $M_{pit}(TP)$	0.71	0.53

Conclusion

• Extend similarity measure for vocal entrainment behavior

• Provide computational model to infer therapist empathy

• In future, more effective features on vocal similarity

• Understand the asymmetry of turn order and PCA projection